Evocatio: Conjuring Bug Capabilities from a Single PoC

<u>Zhiyuan Jiang,</u> Flavio Toffalini, Manuel Egele,

EDEL



Shuitao Gan, Lucio Romerio, Chao Zhang,



Adrian Herrera, Chaojing Tang, Mathias Payer



Motivation

Fuzzing finds 1000s of crashes

- How severe are the crashes?
- Which bug should be fixed first?

				0							
			1		_	1	_				
			З	2	З	2	2			_	-
1	1	З	1	1	2	1	1				
1		2	1	3	2	1	1				
1	1	2	1	1		1	1	1			
		1				1	1				
		2	1		1	2	2	1			
			2	1	2	1	1				
				1	2	1	1		1	1	1
1					2	1	1		1	Ē	Ē
						Ē	_	1	1000		
								Ē			
											-
						-			-		
	-					-			-		



So far, the user has to inspect each crash manually

Severity Assessment

- Scoring bug severity is subjective
- Highly dependent on threat model



We want:

- Determine bug severity across multiple dimensions
- Calculate severity based on user-defined threat model
- Fully automatic and objective

Bug Capability

Before assessing the bug severity

• What can the bug do?





Evocatio: Automatically Assessing Bug Capabilities



I) Capability Detection: CapSan

- Extract capability of a PoC automatically
- Sensitive to capability changing
- Configurable monitor items
- Convenient and light-weight



II) Capability Discovery: Critical Bytes Inference

Assess impact for each input byte

- C_{byte} : affecting control flow
- \bullet $D_{\rm byte}$: affecting data flow
- Single-byte inference
- Byte-sequence inference





III) CapFuzz: Capability guided Fuzzing

- Goal: find more capabilities of a bug
- Input: single crashing seed
- Output: seeds with different capabilities

- Prioritize Critical Bytes
- Mutation
- Seed Retention
- Seed Selection



Severity Assessment

Example threat model

- Goal: achieve remote code execution
- Bug type
- Max. length of OOB reads/writes
- Readable/writable address ranges
- Num. of OOB objects
- Max. OOB size objects
- Num. of different read/write offsets





Evaluation

- 38 bugs (34 CVEs + 4 issues)
- One PoC for each bug
- 8 real-world programs
- 6 bug types

Evaluation: Capabilities discovered by Evocatio

	Ļ	Ļ		Ļ		Ļ		Ļ		
CVE	Bug Effect	Size		Origin		Origin Size		Origin Offset		
		Read	Write	Stack	Неар	Read	Write	Read	Write	
CVE-2016-9532	HOF[SOF]	[2 ⁰ 2 ³]2	[2 ⁰]1	1	9	[2 ⁰ 2 ²⁰]65374	[2 ⁰]1	[2 ⁰ 2 ⁸]2	[2 ⁰]1	
CVE-2018-7871	HOF[W UAF N]	[2 ⁰ 2 ³]4	[2 ² 2 ³]6	0	408	[2 ⁰ 2 ¹⁴]216	[2 ² 2 ¹⁸]13	[2 ³ 2 ¹⁰]54	[2 ⁰]1	
CVE-2019-16705	HOF[W UAF]	[2 ⁰ 2⁰ .2 ¹⁰]3	[2 ⁵ 2 ⁵]1	0	42	[2 ⁰ 2 ⁸ 2 ¹²]81	[2 ¹⁵ 2 ¹⁸]11	[2 ³ 2 ¹⁰]44	[2 ⁰]1	
CVE-2021-3156	HOF[-]	-	[2º 2 ¹⁰]694	0	2	-	[2 ² 2 ⁴ 2 ⁵]31	-	[2 ⁰ 2 ¹⁰]2	

- ~50% in the same risk level, quantitative estimate of severity
- Out of 16 patched CVEs, 7 patches were incomplete (and bypassable)

Key takeaways

Fuzzing detects bugs, assessing their severity is hard

- Programmers are overwhelmed by too many reports
- Bug severity assessment must be automatic and objective
- Completely fixing a bug is hard based on a single PoC

Our findings

- Bug capabilities give developers context
- Guided fuzzing detects underlying bug capabilities
- Evocatio detected 7 incomplete patches, generating new capabilities
- <u>https://github.com/HexHive/Evocatio</u>







